

1
2 As this case has been transferred to the reknown Judge Alsup, Plaintiff makes this request of
3 the Judge. Plaintiff has been found by the Social Security Administration to be ‘disabled’ in part because of
4 his numeric dyslexia and autism which prevents him from comprehension of the legal language of court
5 documents and discussions. Plaintiff has no training in legal language and is at the mercy of business systems
6 set-up by past venture investors, who are no longer involved, and which Plaintiff still must seek to close-up
7 the affairs of, even though he has no training in legal or CPA skills and procedures. Court personnel, in the
8 earlier matters of those filing, will certainly testify that Plaintiff needs legal hand-holding by a qualified
9 lawyer in order to preserve his rights in this case. Plaintiff has taken on the matter of political corruption, on
10 behalf of himself, and the common-good, and deserves to have some qualified assistance in the specialized
11 communication of the Court.

12
13 ***Plaintiff requests a state-funded, court-provided lawyer.*** This will serve the interests of all parties
14 and make for a smoother effort in this case, which affects every American in that it helps to end political
15 corruption by exposing the process and parties involved in such corruption which damages the process of
16 Congress and Democracy.

17
18 A. Plaintiff has been “black-listed” from getting legal representation. Even though the law in
19 California (Cal. Lab. Code § § 1050 to 1053) says that an entity *can't* prevent or attempt to prevent former
20 workers from getting work or representation through misrepresentation, knowingly permitting or failing to
21 take reasonable steps to prevent blacklisting, or make a statement about why an employee was discharged or
22 left employment, implying something other than what is explicitly said, or providing information that was
23 not requested: ***It is done every day in Silicon Valley.*** The “*Silicon Valley No Poaching Black-List*” class-
24 action lawsuit, the “*AngelGate Scandal Investigation*”, and many other notorious scandals and books are
25 about this issue. Federal FAR Section 9.104-1 (d), and related laws, apply. Blacklisting is a key part of the IC
26 Vendetta Cycle attacks. The victims also suffered damage to their rights under the Age Discrimination in
27 Employment Act (ADEA) (29 USC Sec. 621, et seq.); the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC Sec.
28 12181, et seq.); the Civil Rights Acts - (42 USC Sec. 2000, et seq.); the Davis-Bacon Act (40 USC Sec.

1 276a, et seq.); the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) (29 USC Sec. 1001, et seq.); the
2 Equal Pay Act (29 USC Sec. 206[d]) and other violations.

3
4 **B.** Each and every law firm capable of handling Plaintiff case has been contracted, paid and/or
5 influenced so that they are “conflicted out” from representing Plaintiff. Law firm Mofo was threatened if
6 they helped Plaintiff. Lawyer Amy Anderson was threatened and lost her license for attempting to help
7 Plaintiff. Every lawyer or law firm who attempts to help Plaintiff is hired by Defendants, or their agents and
8 threatened or compromised in order to prevent them from helping Plaintiff because this case affects trillions
9 of dollars of energy industry profits, the White House, billionaire oligarchs and multi millionaire corrupt
10 Senators. In fact, this issue lies at the root of this entire corruption case. Sociopath over-moneyed Silicon
11 Valley oligarchs have hire Morrison Foerster, Wilson Sonsini, Perkins Coie, Covington Burling and every
12 other major law firm and lobbyist and told them to “*kill everyone and destroy everything that I don’t like...*”.
13 These law firms (controlled by Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, Larry Page, Eric Schmidt, Steve Westly,
14 Vinod Khosla, Laurene Powell Jobs, Nancy Pelosi, etc. All of whom have nearly a trillion dollars of funds at
15 their disposal) have carte blanche and unlimited payments to run coups, character assassination campaigns,
16 money laundering and other crimes for the oligarchs. They have the staffing to do these things and zero
17 incentive to not do crimes. These law firms are the dirty deeds teams for the mobster-like suspects and there
18 is no law enforcement body with the will nor resources to stop them. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution
19 to tell Plaintiff to “go get a law firm” when EVERY possible, equitable, law firm works for, and is massively
20 compensated by, the criminals that need to be sued. Both the politicians AND the tech oligarchs charged
21 with these crimes have hired the very law firms that government agencies have told Plaintiff to go out and
22 hire.

23
24 **C.** There is a precedent that was set in the US Supreme Court case: Gideon v. Wainwright, (1963)
25 that clarifies that you have a right to a lawyer even if you don't have money for one. The Sixth Amendment,
26 as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause gives one their Due Process
27 rights. One needs to kill someone, though, to most easily get your free lawyer, since the court-appointed
28 lawyer is rarely ever appointed, on citizens behalf, in a case like this. Even if a defendant is represented by an

1 attorney of his or her choosing, he or she may be entitled to relief on appeal if the attorney did not provide
2 adequate representation. A defendant must demonstrate that the attorney's performance "fell below an
3 objective standard of reasonableness" and that this was prejudicial to the case. See:
4 Strickland v. Washington (<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/466/668/case.html>) , 466 U.S. 668,
5 688-92 (1984). A few "free lawyers", that victims had tried, turned out to be working for the opposition
6 side.

7
8 **D.** The federal organization: <https://www.lsc.gov> is required to help but has refused because it's
9 administrators were friends with, and appointed by, the public officials charged with corruption in this case.

10
11 **E.** Plaintiff have personally asked the Attorney General, The Director of the FBI and the U.S.
12 Attorney's office for representation but they have been told not to respond because the case is politically
13 embarrassing to major public officials and their corrupt Silicon Valley financiers.

14
15 **F.** The government agencies who have told Plaintiff that Plaintiff should "*hire a law firm and sue*
16 *them*", in order to resolve this matter, are the same government agencies that have cut-off, or blockaded
17 Plaintiff income sources in order to prevent Plaintiff from being able to afford to hire a law firm to sue them.

18
19 **G.** A federal agency provided Plaintiff with a list of "free lawyers". Upon FBI-level investigation of every
20 "free lawyer" on the list, (via their financial contributions, voting records, leaked emails, social media
21 postings, event attendance, public records, social connections lists online and other surveillance data) they all
22 worked for, or with the opposition interests and could not have possibly provided unbiased services. In fact,
23 multiple lawyers have contacted Plaintiff who turned out to be working for the opposition. They were sent in
24 to delay, or redirect, Plaintiff in order to keep the cases from being filed or properly prosecuted in order to
25 protect the suspects. This is a common infiltration procedure widely documented in CIA, British secret
26 service, Russian FSB and Snowden leaks documents on IC dirty tricks tactics.

27
28 **H.** Agency staff were ordered to harm Applicants by manipulating their benefits in order to deny,

1 delay, obfuscate and reduce their income as reprisal for their assistance to law enforcement in a political
2 corruption and money laundering matter. Agency staff, ranging from the lowest level staff and up to the
3 director headquarters offices, participated in this reprisal-vendetta-revenge action to harm Applicants.

4
5 Applicant's peers have filed DOJ and FBI criminal referrals, launched federal investigations and the
6 assertions have been proven in numerous IG, FBI, Congressional and major news media investigations.
7 Agency offices have failed to provide responsive FOIA requested data, hearing investigation data and fair
8 responses because some of their staff are STILL operating a criminal cover-up which has now been update-
9 reported to the FBI, Congress, the IG, the AG and investigative reporters.

10
11 Other federal agencies have complied, verified and provided the requested deliverables. SSA and
12 DOE have pointed the searchlight of suspicion on themselves, laser-like, by their overt failure to comply,
13 unlike every other agency. Ironically, the political financing of their executives and their personal
14 relationships "happens" to be with the exact same Silicon Valley oligarchs under felony criminal
15 investigations. The stock market brokerage records, family trust accounts, PAC trace-routing, Interpol
16 records and SEC investigation records proves it!

17
18
19 Agencies And Authorities Previously Contacted

20
21 For years, victim/Plaintiff, and their advocates and peers, have contacted every government agency
22 and authority listed in government directories, which may have any jurisdiction over this case. The usual
23 response has been cover-ups, finger-pointing, stone-walling, obfuscation, failure-to-reply or other tactics to
24 delay the inevitable. This has forced victim/Plaintiff to use social media and novel distribution technologies
25 to present their case to every registered voter in the public. Agency officials who were supposed to be
26 helping victim/Plaintiff have been exposed taking bribes from victim/plaintiff's enemies and adversaries in
27 this case. Certain California State officials, Obama White House Staff and Federal Agency staff accepted
28 bribes from Silicon Valley Oligarchs and Investment Bank Cartels. They were bribed with: Billions of

dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures stock and stock warrants which is never reported to the FEC; Billions of dollars of Google, Twitter, Facebook, Tesla, Netflix and Sony Pictures search engine rigging and shadow-banning which is never reported to the FEC; Free rent; Male and female prostitutes; Cars; Dinners; Party Financing; Sports Event Tickets; Political campaign printing and mailing services "Donations"; Secret PAC Financing; Jobs in Corporations in Silicon Valley For The Family Members of Those Who Take Bribes And Those Who Take Bribes; "Consulting" contracts from McKinsey as fronted pay-off gigs; Overpriced "Speaking Engagements" which are really just pay-offs conduited for donors; Gallery art; Private jet rides and the use of Government fuel depots (ie: Google handed out NASA jet fuel to staff); Recreational drugs; Real Estate; Fake mortgages; The use of Cayman, Boca Des Tores, Swiss and related money-laundering accounts; The use of HSBC, Wells Fargo, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank money laundering accounts and covert stock accounts; Free spam and bulk mailing services owned by Silicon Valley corporations; Use of high tech law firms such as Perkins Coie, Wilson Sonsini, MoFo, Covington & Burling, etc. to conduit bribes to officials; Payroll W2 and 1099 payments which were actually bribe payments for political work such as character assassinations and internet rigging; and other means now documented by us, The FBI, the FTC, The SEC, The FEC and journalists.

A partial list of such reports filed by victim/Plaintiff to report the crime and demand compensation includes. Certified mail, Fedex receipt mail and digitally tracked electronic communications were used. Hundreds of public officials have been contacted, with documented proof, to date:

National Archives – Case documents, demands and evidence for this case have been filed with the National Archives with reference and tracking numbers so that any member of the public can pull up copies for historical reference and future research about corruption in the 2000's.

San Francisco Police Department – A case number was issued and the case was referred to the Northern District Station. The case has been stalled for years as San Francisco Public officials are suspects in the crime. Recent arrests by U.S. Attorney Anderson, do indicate some progress but victim/plaintiff has had no recent out-reach.

San Mateo Police Department – Most of the crime organizer/beneficiary/financiers live in San Mateo County and letters have been sent to the SMPD about their actions. One of them: Elon Musk, recently moved from San Mateo, California to the State of Texas in order to delay prosecution.

Federal Bureau of Investigation – Victim/Plaintiff have spoken and met with the FBI on multiple occasions. Some FBI staff, and former employees have assisted but no indication of action has been revealed officially by the FBI. Victim/Plaintiff have invoiced the FBI for witness and informant fees but the FBI has been non-responsive. Victim/Plaintiff were licensed investigators who participated in past undercover operations.

Congressional Investigation Hearings – Victim/Plaintiff have reported to and caused the launch of numerous Congressional hearings which validated Plaintiff assertions but Congressional hearings do not award damages to victims. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation. Victim provided much data to **The House Committee On Oversight And Government Reform.**

Federal Court System – Victim/Plaintiff have been witnesses and/or in a Plaintiff group in famous law suits and class actions and while the cases were “won” or proven in favor of plaintiff’s assertions, no compensation has been forthcoming to the victim due to conflicts-of-interest with administration officials and the blockade of victim’s legal representation due to the fear of political embarrassment by Administration officials and their Silicon Valley financiers!

The GAO – Victim/plaintiff was an interviewed witness/whistle-blower for the GAO. The GAO has published multiple reports condemning some of the suspects in this case. Government Accountability Office OIG has submitted questions but has not informed victim of any definitive actions. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

Senator Dianne Feinstein – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

Senator Nancy Pelosi – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

Senator Jared Huffman – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

Jerry Brown’s Justice Department Lead: Ken Alex – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

Barack Obama – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

FBI Director James Comey – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

Department of Energy Inspector General – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in

1 this case

2 **Secretary of Energy Steven Chu** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

3 **White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in

4 this case

5 **White House Advisor David Axelrod** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this

6 case

7 **California Attorney General Kamala Harris** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in

8 this case

9 **Senator Barbara Boxer** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case

10 **California Secretary of State’s Office** – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this

11 case

12 **California Crime Victims Board** – [vcgcb.ca.gov](#) – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest

13 in this case

14 **United States Department of Justice** – Obama Administration – Reported to yet involved in a

15 conflict-of-interest in this case. On January 29, 2019, the DOJ OIG did cite multiple senior FBI officials

16 were manipulating cases for special interests. The San Francisco head of the FBI that victim’s had been

17 communicating with, was recently replaced by a new person (Bennett). FBI agent Dunne told victim that this

18 was a “multi-year investigation...”. Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and

19 informant compensation.

20 **SEC** – Obama Administration- – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case.

21 Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

22 **CFTC** – Obama Administration - – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this case.

23 Invoices have been filed by victim for witness, whistle-blower and informant compensation.

24 **Secret Service** – Obama Administration- – Reported to yet involved in a conflict-of-interest in this

25 case

26 All news reporters – Over 100,000 news reports, documentary films and articles, proving the

27 victim’s assertions have been published and broadcast but such news reports do not provide cash

28 compensation to victim

1	AbilityOne - OIG
2	Board of Governors for the Federal Reserve System & Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
3	OIG
4	Commodity Futures Trading Commission OIG
5	Consumer Product Safety Commission OIG
6	Corporation for National & Community Service OIG
7	Corporation for Public Broadcasting OIG
8	Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency
9	Department of Agriculture OIG
10	Department of Commerce OIG
11	Department of Defense OIG
12	Department of Education OIG
13	Department of Energy OIG
14	Department of Health & Human Services OIG
15	Department of Homeland Security OIG
16	Department of Housing and Urban Development OIG
17	Department of Justice OIG
18	Department of Labor OIG
19	Department of State and Broadcasting Board of Governors OIG
20	Department of the Interior OIG
21	Department of the Treasury OIG
22	Department of Transportation OIG
23	Department of Veterans Affairs OIG
24	Election Assistance Commission OIG
25	Environmental Protection Agency OIG
26	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission OIG•
27	Export-Import Bank OIG
28	Farm Credit Administration OIG

NorCal 9th District – 6.14.22 – NEW REQUEST FOR COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1	Federal Communications Commission OIG
2	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation OIG
3	Federal Election Commission OIG
4	Federal Housing Finance Agency OIG
5	Federal Labor Relations Authority OIG
6	Federal Maritime Commission OIG
7	Federal Trade Commission OIG
8	General Services Administration OIG
9	Government Publishing Office OIG
10	Intelligence Community OIG
11	International Trade Commission OIG
12	Legal Services Corporation OIG
13	Library of Congress OIG
14	National Aeronautics and Space Administration OIG
15	National Archives and Records Administration OIG
16	National Credit Union Administration OIG
17	National Endowment for the Arts OIG
18	National Endowment for the Humanities OIG
19	National Labor Relations Board OIG
20	National Science Foundation OIG
21	Nuclear Regulatory Commission OIG
22	Office of Personnel Management OIG
23	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation OIG
24	Postal Regulatory Commission OIG
25	Railroad Retirement Board OIG
26	Securities and Exchange Commission OIG
27	Small Business Administration OIG
28	Smithsonian Institution OIG

1 Social Security Administration OIG
2 Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction
3 Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program
4 Tennessee Valley Authority OIG
5 Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration
6 U.S. Agency for International Development OIG
7 Bill Cooper, U.S. Department of Energy General Counsel
8 San Francisco FBI officer Patricia Rich
9 San Francisco FBI office, 450 Golden Gate, Duty Officer
10 San Francisco FBI office Director David Johnson
11 42+ Different officials at GAO per their direct emails
12 16+ different officials at the SEC per their direct emails
13 Secretary of Energy Moniz
14 Margrethe Vestager, EU
15 Rep. Jackie Speier – She sent a letter saying she had checked and federal authorities were looking
16 into things
17 U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder
18 FBI Director James Comey
19 DOJ - Inspector General
20 Cecelia Howell, Office of Investor Education & Advocacy, SEC
21 Department of Energy Inspector General
22 Secretary of Energy Steven Chu
23 White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs
24 White House Advisor David Axelrod
25 California Attorney General Kamala Harris
26 Senator Barbara Boxer
27 California Secretary of State's Office
28 Social Security Administration, Office of the Inspector General

1 Rebecca Alery, Staffer to Congressman Emmer
2 Carly Atchison, Communications Director to Congressman Emmer
3 Trey Gowdy - U.S. Congress
4 Anti-trust Office, USDOJ
5 Whistleblowers.org
6 Abbey Rime, press organizer for Congressman Tom Rime
7 Citizen Complaint Center, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice
8 Premerger & Division Statistics, Office of Operations, Antitrust Division - USDOJ
9 ATVMIP Staff, Loan Programs Office, U.S. D.O.E.
10 Representative Kate Barlow
11 Angelia Bowman, Program Manager, US Department of Energy
12 Kate Braun, Office of Congressman Tom Emmer
13 Marc A. Cevasco, Chief of Staff, Congressman Ted W. Lieu
14 Nate Riggins, Senior Staff, Congressman Ted W. Lieu
15 Inspector General - Social Security Administration
16 Jessica Chan, SEC
17 Director, Oak Ridge Clearinghouse, United States Department of Energy
18 Multiple Form 95 Federal Claims Forms that were stone-walled and never responded to
19 Nicholas Banasevic, Head of Unit, EU Investigations
20 FTC High Technology Task Force
21 NHTSA Safety Board Chairman
22 Jennifer Decesaro, United States Department of Energy
23 Devin O'Malley, USDOJ
24 Office of the Special Counsel Case ID # DI-19-2009 and other case #'s
25 Melissa Darr, U.S. D.O.E.
26 Tricia Evans, United States Congress
27 Docket Branch, Office of Hearings and Appeals, OHA.Filings@hq.doe.gov
28 Paul Fitzpatrick, Chief of Staff, Congressman Mark Meadows (North Carolina - 11)

NorCal 9th District – 6.14.22 – NEW REQUEST FOR COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY - CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-01107-WHA

1 Mart Bailey, Business Partner to the Feinstein Family
2 Robert Simon - Investigative Reporter, CBS News 60 Minutes
3 Carol Leonnig - Investigative Reporter - Washington Post
4 Inspector General - Social Security Administration (in-person and in writing)
5 Legal offices - The White House (time-stamped and receipt acknowledged by his office in writing)
6 **rburnson@bloomberg.net (Receipt Confirmed)**
7 **dglovin@bloomberg.net (Receipt Confirmed)**
8 **antitrust@ftc.gov (Receipt Confirmed)**
9 Catherine McMullen - Office Of The Special Counsel <cmcmullen@osc.gov> (Multiple
10 communications)
11 Tracy Biggs - Office Of The Special Counsel <TBiggs@osc.gov> (Multiple communications)
12 Leslie Gogen - Office Of The Special Counsel <lgogan@osc.gov> (Multiple communications)
13 Herb Newman, Business Partner to the Feinstein Family
14 The FTC FOIA Unit
15 Frank Rusco- GAO Lead, at (202) 512-3841 or ruscof@gao.gov
16 Chris Morris, FOIA Officer, Department of Energy
17 Communications Office, CIA
18 Dhillon Law Group Inc.
19 Matthew Haskins At the office of Rep. Hurd
20 Bob Haueter, Chief of Staff, U.S. Congress
21 Keith Holloway, NTSB
22 hotline@oig.treas.gov
23 Hotline Coordinator, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Inspector General
24 Jessica Hartman at nara.gov
25 Gregory Krauss, Attorney, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Hearings and Appeals
26 Kyle Yunaska, United States Department of Energy
27 Scott Lucarelli, FOIA Liaison, Office of the Chief Counsel, EMCBC, DOJ
28 Gosia Olczyk, Claes BENGTTSSON, Friedrich Wenzel BULST, Cabinet of M. Vestager

1 Cheryll Barton, SMA to Justine Johannes, Sandia National Laboratories
2 Alexander Morris, United States Department of Energy, Obama
3 Office of Policy and Coordination, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission
4 Office for Civil Rights (OCR), 200 Independence Ave., SW, DC
5 OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION SERVICES, National Archives & Records
6 Administration

7 Carole Richmond, Special Aide To Rep. Carter
8 Monique C. Winkler, Associate Regional Director for Enforcement, SEC
9 Olga E. Santiago Lugo, State Policy Advisor, USDOJ
10 Sunita Satyapal, USDOE Energy Programs
11 Sydney Schneir & Jennifer DeCesaro, DOE liaison officers
12 Agent Carina Schoenberger, US DOJ
13 Gabriela Sterling & Cody Laliberte at Rep. Walters office
14 The White House press office
15 Rick Perry, United States Department of Energy
16 Office of the Victims' Rights Ombudsman, USDOJ
17 Roman Vayner, Esq., CIPP/US,OIA, U.S. Department of Energy
18 California Victim Compensation Board
19 Judiciary Committee's Oversight and Investigations staff
20 witness@theguardian.com
21 Ray Yonkura At The U.S. Congress
22 And all other known agencies with any applicable authority....

23 The problem with this process is the internal corruption within agencies who have been allowed to
24 operate without proper regulation or oversight for many years. The "SpyGate" or "FISA Abuse" case in the
25 current White House involves the abuse of public agencies to attack those they are politically opposed to.
26 Average citizen SSA, IRS, HUD and other applicants suffer the same fate.

27 The San Mateo, California Social Security Administration offices are under investigation because
28 one, or more, of their staff used SSA resources to attack an Applicant because a staff member (erroneously)

1 thought that an Applicant was opposed to that SSA staffer's beliefs on "open-borders". The SSA official
2 ordered up reprisal operations, against the Applicant, simply to vent that SSA officials political angers. That
3 is illegal.

4 A vast number of agency abuse cases and lawsuits are now on public record in the Inspector
5 General's offices and federal courts.

6 It is an indisputable fact that some government agencies run "hit-jobs" on citizens on orders from
7 certain corrupt politicians. These actions are felony violations of the law.

8 Federal and State Agencies including SSA, FEC, DOE, HHS, VA, CIA, HUD, SA, SEC, FBI, DOJ
9 and many others, have been charged, and found guilty, in these crimes against citizens.

10 In the Congressional investigation published by the United States Congress in review of the U.S.
11 Department of Energy LGP/ATVM programs, it is clearly proven that the U.S. Department of Energy was
12 used as a slush-fund by some DOE executives in order to pay off campaign financiers by attacking and
13 sabotaging their competitors.

14 The DOE Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with the Department of Energy and the
15 government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who
16 were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.

17 Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter
18 Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case
19 was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by
20 DOE officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.

21 VA officials attacked hundreds of citizens who reported corruption, ie:
22 <https://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/health-care/item/18610-va-whistleblowers-facing-retribution>.

23 As shown in this report: [https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-](https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/)
24 [whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/](https://www.pogo.org/analysis/2018/08/new-report-confirms-whistleblower-retaliation-is-alive-and-well-at-department-of-veterans-affairs/)

25 , Agencies attack often and harshly.

26 CIA and NSA executives have been widely shown to use spy tools to attack domestic citizens they
27 don't like, ie: [https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html)
28 [spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html](https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2435011/NSA-employees-used-phone-tapping-tools-spy-girlfriends-cheating-husbands.html) , and hundreds of other news links that can be provided.

1 Elon Musk and Tesla, as well as Eric Schmidt and Larry Page at Google, have been proven to use the
2 CIA group: IN-Q-TEL, to run government sponsored/financed attacks on business competitors.

3 In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WERE CAUGHT BEING
4 USED FOR ATTACKS AGAINST CITIZENS AND PUNISHED IN THE COURT AND THE MEDIA!

5 The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to
6 target and attack people for presumed political differences.

7 Why should we assume that the Social Security Administration is not ALSO doing this too to harm
8 citizens who speak out?

9 The Lois Lerner IRS attacks took many years to resolve. In an unprecedented victorious conclusion
10 to a four year-long legal battle against the IRS, the bureaucratic agency admitted in federal court that it
11 wrongfully targeted citizens, during the Obama Administration, because of their political viewpoints and
12 issued an apology to those people for doing so.

13 In addition, the IRS is consenting to a court order that would prohibit it from ever engaging in this
14 form of unconstitutional discrimination in the future.

15 In a proposed Consent Order filed with the Court, the IRS has apologized for its treatment of U.S.
16 citizens including organizations from 20 states that applied for 501(c)(3) and (c)(4) tax-exempt status with
17 the IRS between 2009 and 2012 -- during the tax-exempt determinations process. Crucially, following years
18 of denial by the IRS and blame-shifting by IRS officials, the agency now expressly admits that its treatment
19 of our clients was wrong and a total violation of our Democracy..

20 As set forth in the proposed Order:

21 “The IRS admits that its treatment of Plaintiff during the tax-exempt determinations process,
22 including screening their applications based on their names or policy positions, subjecting those applications
23 to heightened scrutiny and inordinate delays, and demanding of some Plaintiff information that TIGTA
24 determined was unnecessary to the agency’s determination of their tax-exempt status, was wrong. For such
25 treatment, the IRS expresses its sincere apology.”

26 Throughout litigation of this case, activists have remained committed to protecting the rights of the
27 public who faced unlawful and discriminatory action by the IRS and other agencies. The objective from the
28 very beginning has been to hold agencies accountable for corrupt practices.

1 This Consent Order represents a historic victory for the public and sends the unequivocal message
2 that a government agency's targeting of citizens organizations, or any organization, on the basis of political
3 viewpoints, will never be tolerated and that revenge will be swift and vast.

4 The Order will put an end, once and for all, to the abhorrent practices utilized against citizens, as the
5 agreement includes the IRS's express acknowledgment of – and apology for – its wrongful treatment of the
6 public. While this agreement is designed to prevent any such practices from occurring again, rest assured that
7 all public interest lawyers will remain vigilant to ensure that the IRS, SSA, DOJ or SEC does not resort to
8 such tactics in the future.

9 Per detailed reports, in March of 2012 lawyers began being contacted by literally dozens of citizens
10 and groups who were being harassed by the Obama IRS after submitting applications for tax-exempt status.
11 Their tax-exempt applications were held up for years (over seven years in some cases), and they began
12 receiving obtrusive and unconstitutional requests for donor and member information. That began a now more
13 than five and a half year fight with the burgeoning bureaucracy at the IRS. Then on May 10, 2013, Lois
14 Lerner, the then head of the IRS Tax Exempt Organizations Division, publicly implicated the IRS in one of
15 the worst political targeting scandals of the century.

16 This is an extraordinary victory against government agency abuse. It sends a powerful warning to the
17 deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the Constitution in order to silence and shut down
18 the whistle-blowers.

19 In addition to the IRS's admissions of and apology for its wrongful conduct, the Consent Order
20 would specifically award Plaintiff the following:

21 - A declaration by the Court that it is wrong to apply the United States tax code to any tax-exempt
22 applicant or entity based solely on such entity's name, any lawful positions it espouses on any issues, or its
23 associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint;

24 - A declaration by the Court that any action or inaction taken by the IRS must be applied
25 evenhandedly and not based solely on a tax-exempt applicant or entity's name, political viewpoint, or
26 associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint; and

27 - A declaration by the Court that discrimination on the basis of political viewpoint in administering
28 the United States tax code violates fundamental First Amendment rights. Disparate treatment of taxpayers

1 based solely on the taxpayers’ names, any lawful positions the taxpayers espouse on any issues, or the
2 taxpayers’ associations or perceived associations with a particular political movement, position or viewpoint
3 is unlawful.

4 In the Order, the IRS has also agreed that (unless expressly required by law) certain actions against
5 the Plaintiff– i.e. the sharing, dissemination, or other use of information unnecessarily obtained by the IRS
6 during the determinations process (such as donor names, the names of volunteers, political affiliations of an
7 organization’s officers, etc.) – would be unlawful. In addition, the IRS promises not to take any retaliatory
8 action against our clients for exposing the targeting scheme.

9 Finally, and of crucial significance, the IRS admits it targeted persons and groups based on their
10 viewpoints (i.e., “policy positions”) and that such viewpoint discrimination violates fundamental First
11 Amendment rights. This is the first time the IRS has admitted that its targeting scheme was not just
12 “inappropriate” – as TIGTA found – but, as alleged, blatantly unconstitutional.

13 To ensure consistency and uniformity within the agency’s operations going forward, the IRS is
14 required, pursuant to the Order, to inform all employees within the Exempt Organizations Division, as well
15 as the Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners within other divisions, of the Order’s terms.

16 This Order not only validates allegations about their treatment at the hands of the corrupt Obama-era
17 IRS but also provides important assurances to the American public that the agency understands its obligation
18 to refrain from further such discriminatory conduct. As Attorney General Sessions acknowledged in this
19 regard, “[t]here is no excuse for [the IRS’s] conduct,” as it is “without question” that the First Amendment
20 prohibits the conduct that occurred here, i.e., subjecting American citizens to disparate treatment “based
21 solely on their viewpoint or ideology.” Sessions further confirmed his Department’s commitment to ensuring
22 that the “abuse of power” in which the IRS engaged here “will not be tolerated.”

23 It is impossible to overstate the importance of this victory. This marks a years-long fight for justice
24 in defense of the constitutional rights of the public.

25 This is an extraordinary victory against abuse of power and corruption.

26 It sends a powerful warning to the deep state bureaucracy that it will not be allowed to violate the
27 Constitution and manipulate the IRS, SSA and other agencies in order to silence and shut down those who
28 speak out about political corruption crimes.

1 In the wake of Wisconsin Watchdog's investigation into SSA staff allegations of incompetence,
2 misconduct, and retaliation in Social Security disability appeals offices, several employees have taken their
3 complaints to a Senate committee led by Wisconsin Sen. Ron Johnson.

4 An official with knowledge of the complaints said the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental
5 Affairs Committee, chaired by the Oshkosh Republican, has received emails and other contacts from "certain
6 people" inside the Social Security Administration's Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.

7 The initial complaints came from an employee inside the Milwaukee office following Wisconsin
8 Watchdog's opening investigative report that found some claimants waiting more than 1,000 days for an
9 appeals decision on their disability benefits claim.

10 Following Wednesday's story of a whistleblower in the Madison ODAR office, the committee has
11 received more specific complaints about retaliation against employees, the source said.

12 Committee staff members sent the latest Watchdog piece to SSA administrators hoping they will
13 "cooperate," the source said. To date, the agency has been less than cooperative.

14 "This is an ongoing process, and they are not always as forthcoming as we'd like them to be," the
15 source said. "Hopefully with your continued reporting, this is an issue they can't duck."

16 A Senate committee member said officials there are working with the Office of Special Counsel on
17 "multiple whistleblower retaliation claims." The committee continues to request information from the SSA.

18 The whistleblower in the Madison office claims management retaliated against her after she was
19 called to testify in a misconduct case. The incident involved "inappropriate behavior" by an administrative
20 law judge, she said.

21 "They are so corrupt. It's absolutely horrible," said the woman, a lead case technician in the Madison
22 Office of Disability Adjudication and Review.

23 She spoke on condition of anonymity, fearing more retribution from her supervisors. While she said
24 recounting her particular experiences will more than likely betray her identity anyway, the ODAR case
25 worker insisted she has had enough.

26 "I'm at point where they don't care about me, I don't see why I'm protecting them. This is my last
27 resort," she said. "I want to do my work without fear of retaliation."

28 She said she has contacted the Senate committee.

1 “I forwarded my information to them and I got an email back from them. They said people are
2 coming out of the woodwork with their complaints (about ODAR) following your story,” the whistle-blower
3 said.

4 Ronald Klym, a long-time senior legal assistant in the Milwaukee ODAR office, alleges he has been
5 retaliated against by supervisors for going public with his charges of incompetence and misconduct in the
6 agency. The federal employee, who has worked for SSA for 16 years, provided Wisconsin Watchdog with
7 documents showing extremely long wait times for claimants appealing their denied applications for benefits.

8 Doug Nguyen, SSA regional spokesman, in a previous story said the agency acknowledges that
9 Milwaukee ODAR has a “high average processing time for disability appeal hearings, and we are working to
10 address the issue.”

11 Beyond the delays is what Klym calls the “shell game,” the wholesale transferring of cases to other
12 parts of the country by administrators to make the Milwaukee office’s numbers look better than they are.

13 The Madison office whistle-blower confirmed Klym’s allegations, saying at one point she saw 2,000
14 cases from the Milwaukee office handed off to the Oak Brook operation.

15 There are over 10,000 SSA disability manipulation charges against SSA executives and staff. There
16 are over 185,000 charges filed by domestic citizens against all of the parties we listed in our *reported-to* list
17 above.

18 Damages And Harms List

19

20 "Applicant A" and his co-workers were cooperating with agency investigations into organized crime
21 operating within government agencies. In order to discourage, threaten and intimidate “Applicant A”, he and
22 his peers were attacked with a \$30M+ state-sponsored reprisal attack which included the following attack
23 tactics, operated by Fusion GPS, Black Cube, Psy-Ops type contractors and White House specialists, which
24 caused quantifiable harms and damages for which “Applicant A” is owed compensation:

25
26 1.) Defrauding Applicants via fake government requests to invest in rigged government contracts, thus
27 costing Plaintiff’s their life savings;
28

- 1 2.) Placing moles in, and spying inside, Applicant's companies;
- 2
- 3 3.) Blockading legal counsel for Plaintiff;
- 4
- 5 [4.\) Character assassination and sophisticated contracted defamation media attacks;](#)
- 6
- 7 5.) Defendants contracted off-shore "click-farm" and "troll-factory" processed social media attacks;
- 8
- 9 6.) Government benefits from SSA, HUD, etc, blockades and manipulations;
- 10
- 11 7.) Jobs and venture capital funding blacklisting;
- 12
- 13 8.) FOIA obfuscation for official government FOIA filings;
- 14
- 15 9.) Arbitrary government deadline manipulation for SSA, DOE, HUD and other applications;
- 16
- 17 10.) Creation of endless fake hurdles in agency applications (ie: DOE) to protect rigged "winners";
- 18
- 19 11.) Toxic workplace poisonings like the Salisbury, Nalvany, Litvinenko poisonings;
- 20
- 21 12.) Workplace sabotage and obstruction of Applicant's companies;
- 22
- 23 13.) Contracted media defamation attacks via Gawker, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Google, Youtube, etc. Which
- 24 have now had the payments for the attacks tracked through accounting systems
- 25
- 26 14.) Commercial employment database "Lois Lerner-ing" and red-flagging;
- 27
- 28 [15.\) Murders or forced deaths of peers \(ie: Rajeev Motwani, Seth Rich, Gary D. Conley and 120+ others\);](#)

16.) Revenue blockades and internet income re-direction;

17.) Troll farm attack teams hired from Chinese attack farms;

18.) Fusion GPS, Media Matters, David Plouffe attack contracts issued targeting whistle-blowers;

19.) Manual search engine lock-in attacks on Google, YouTube, LinkedIn;

20.) U.S. patent office manipulation to blockade revenue;

21.) Honey-traps sent out targeting the whistle-blowers;

22.) Fake news tabloid empires created just for defamation attacks;

23.) Housing access and financing blockades created to reprisal harass whistle-blowers;

24.) Ongoing hacking of Plaintiff devices;

25.) Tech industry black-list coordination within the National Venture Capital Association;

26.) HUD and USDA mortgage rights blockades;

27.) DNS and IP routing manipulation to prevent victims from selling anything online;

28.) Digital attacks designed to put horrific fake news about target in front of 7.5 billion people...

and more spy agency type "dirty tricks" that cost the victims their lives, life savings, income and other

1 disabling losses as detailed in the related support documents on the case website.

2
3 **Plaintiff have currently had their rights to legal representation and a trial blockaded by**
4 **government officials who fear political embarrassment in a public trial of this case. In fact, history has**
5 **proven that those officials have caused a thousand-fold increase in their own public media shame by**
6 **delaying a settlement of this dispute!**

7
8 **Relief Options**

9
10 - Victim/plaintiff demands the provision of a state-sponsored court-provided law firm to represent
11 victim/plaintiff under the variances and human rights laws affording such provision of services.

12 - The finding that this matter includes a violation of RICO racketeering laws and Anti-trust laws by
13 the combined organized efforts of the Defendants.

14 - Awarding Plaintiff compensatory including actual, consequential, and incidental damages for
15 malicious defamatory conduct as alleged herein in an amount to be determined at trial and in excess of
16 \$35,000,000 U.S. Dollars.

17 - Awarding punitive damages for Defendant's malicious defamatory conduct based on the routine
18 and accepted calculation of 5 percent of their invested current net worth of over \$63 billion U.S. dollars.
19 Thus, punitive damages are requested be awarded by the in an amount to exceed \$3 billion U.S. dollars,
20 which amount of punitive damages are designed to sufficiently punish Defendant in order that its illegal
21 conduct not reoccur.

22 - Awarding Plaintiff attorney's fees and costs.

23 - Granting any such further relief as the Court deems appropriate including preliminary and
24 permanent injunctive relief.

25 - Damages in excess of \$225 million against Chu, Axelrod, Podesta, Carny, Biden, Emanuel, Gibbs,
26 Rattner and Seward, jointly and severally, for their violations of Plaintiff's civil rights.

27 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that Plaintiff's
28 Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing Defendants to reconsider

1 and/or approve same.

2 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) Plaintiff's ATVM
3 Loan Program application was wrongfully denied and injunctive relief directing Defendants to reconsider
4 and/or approve same without respect for political considerations.

5 - A declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B) that the rejection
6 of Plaintiff's LGP application without recourse was unlawful, and injunctive relief directing Defendants to
7 accept and consider same without respect for political considerations.

8 - Such costs and attorney fees as Plaintiff's may be entitled to under law.

9 - Such other relief as this Court deems just.

10 - Plaintiff's have sent an invoice for "*informant and witness fees*" to the GAO, FBI, FTC and SEC in
11 the amount of \$5,000,000.00 per agency but have not had a response, relative to payment, from those
12 agencies.

13 14 **Damages Amount Comparatives and Legal Precedents Validations**

15
16 Reference past payment examples:

17 - Campbell worked as an informant for federal authorities investigating Vadim Mikerin, a Russian
18 official in charge of U.S. operations for Tenex, a unit of Rosatom. Authorities later accused Mikerin of
19 taking bribes from a shipping company in exchange for contracts to transport Russian uranium into the
20 United States. He pleaded guilty in federal court in Maryland and was sentenced to prison for four years. The
21 Justice Department had also initially charged Mikerin with extorting kickbacks from Campbell after hiring
22 him as a \$50,000-a-month lobbyist. Prosecutors alleged Mikerin had demanded Campbell pay between one-
23 third and half of that money back to him each month under threat of losing the contract and veiled warnings
24 of violence from the Russians. The demand prompted Campbell to turn to the FBI in 2010, which gave its
25 blessing for him to remain part of the scheme as a whistle-blower who was compensated for his efforts.

26 - The FBI has a Congressional docket which documents it's annual witness and informant
27 expenditures for the last 20 years. Those financial on-the-record documents show the average payment for a
28 high-ticket case RICO-type informant such as Plaintiff and are hereby placed in this case record as references

1 of payment standards.

2 - In a similar case, Plaintiff Terry Bollea (AKA: Hulk Hogan) was awarded \$145 million dollars in
3 damages because of character assassination efforts by the same parties. The attacks on Plaintiff exceeded the
4 resources used against Terry Bollea by many magnitudes and thus, the \$145 million dollar figure would be a
5 minimum damages figure for each Plaintiff in our case as each Applicant was attacked in such a manner.
6 Each of the parties attacked by Gawker/Gizmodo (who worked for White House operatives) received at least
7 \$170,000.00 in damages.

8 - The DOE Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant under contracts with the Department of Energy and the
9 government-owned U.S. Enrichment Corp paid \$5M whistle-blower awards to those whistle-blowers who
10 were attacked, using government agency resources, for reporting a crime.

11 - Adam Lovinger, a 12-year veteran of the Pentagon's Office of Net Assessment (ONA), filed a
12 whistleblower reprisal complaint with the Defense Department's inspector general in May against ONA boss
13 James Baker and received compensation for his whistle-blower efforts.

14 - The FBI's informant in the Uranium One scandal involving the Obama administration gave written
15 testimony to three congressional committees this week in which he accused the Obama administration of
16 making decisions that directly benefited the Russian government and their goals of gaining geopolitical
17 advantages over the United States. The informant, Douglas Campbell, told congressional investigators on
18 Wednesday that Moscow sent millions of dollars to the U.S. with the expectation that it would benefit the
19 Clinton's, while Hillary Clinton "quarterbacked a 'reset' in US-Russian relations" in her role as Secretary of
20 State during the Obama administration, The Hill reported. The FBI found Campbell's undercover work
21 valuable enough to reward him with a \$50,000 check in 2016.

22 - Dept. of Energy Hanford URS has agreed to settle a lawsuit brought by former employee Walter
23 Tamosaitis for \$4.1 million. The settlement in the whistle-blower case comes almost one year before the case
24 was set for a jury trial in federal court in Richland and compensates Tamosaitis for attacks against him, by
25 DOE officials, in retribution for reporting a crime.

26 - In Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00777-RBW, government agencies were caught being used for attacks
27 against citizens and punished in the court and the media! The IRS, and hordes of other government agencies
28 have been caught and proven, IN COURT, to target and attack people for presumed political differences

1 - Some of the biggest evidence-of-loss examples are found in the financial records from General
2 Motors, Ford Motors, Nissan and Tesla Motors executives for the time-frame from Jan. 1, 2000 to today.
3 Those records validate the income loss to Plaintiff.

4 - Over 400+ other cases decisions, settlement records and government payment precedents are on
5 file at <http://www.pacer.gov> validating the amount that Plaintiff should be compensated via known and
6 quantified precedents.

7 ***Plaintiff pleads for a state-funded, court-provided lawyer.***

8 DATED this day of the filing of 2022

9 Respectfully submitted,

10 (SIGNED ELECTRONICALLY)

11 **Name: SD Redmond**

12 **Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275**

13 **San Mateo, CA 94401**

14 **Phone Number: 510-868-2862**

15 ***Pro Se – A federal witness***

16 EXHIBITS AND PROOFS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE NUMBERED DOCUMENTS

17 (PAGES PAST THIS SENTENCE ARE INTENTIONALLY BLANK)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28